

MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)
United States Attorney

MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630)
Chief, Criminal Division

CAROLYNE ARANGO SANIN (DCBN 499564)
Special Assistant United States Attorney
150 South Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900
San Jose, California 95113
Telephone: (408) 535-5596
Facsimile: (408) 535-5066
carolyne.sanin@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the United States

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	No. 08-CR-375 RMW
)	
Plaintiff,)	STIPULATION AND []
)	ORDER CONTINUING HEARING TO
v.)	APRIL 30, 2012
)	
JAVIER ESPINOZA,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	
)	

The Parties, acting through respective counsel, hereby stipulate, subject to the Court's approval, that the hearing currently set for March 26, 2012 at 9 a.m. be vacated, and that the hearing be re-set for April 30, 2012 at 9 a.m. The parties are requesting the continuance of the hearing due to the need for additional time for effective preparation, and the need to jointly negotiate a resolution in this matter.

The parties stipulate that the time between March 26, 2012 and April 30, 2012, is excluded under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §3161, and agree that the failure to grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Finally, the parties agree that the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interest of the

1 public, and the defendant in a speedy trial and in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. 18
2 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).

3
4
5 DATED: March 21, 2012

MELINDA HAAG
United States Attorney

7
8 /s/
CAROLYNE A. SANIN
Special Assistant United States Attorney

10
11 /s/
VARELL FULLER
Attorney for Defendant

12
13
14
15
16
17 **¶ ORDER**

18 GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, upon stipulation of the parties, IT IS HEREBY
19 ORDERED that the hearing currently set for March 26, 2012 at 9 a.m., shall be continued to
20 April 30, 2012, at 9 a.m.

21 THE COURT FINDS that failing to exclude the time between March 26, 2012 and April
22 30, 2012, would unreasonably deny the government continuity of counsel and deny defense
23 counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of
24 due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

25 THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the ends of justice served by excluding the time
26 between March 26, 2012 and April 30, 2012, from computation under the Speedy Trial Act
27 outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

28 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time between March 26, 2012 and

1 April 30, 2012, shall be excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §
2 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).

3
4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5
6 DATED: ~~HEG~~ ~~DFG~~

7 
8 RONALD M. WHYTE
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28